

## NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

# The crystal and molecular structure of the tricyclic antidepressant chlorimipramine hydrochloride

Post, Michael; Horn, A. S.

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

### Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

Acta Crystallographica, B33, pp. 2590-2595, 1977

NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=2b575e51-a099-47a7-bb93-1069dd84b6cc https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=2b575e51-a099-47a7-bb93-1069dd84b6cc

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at <u>https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright</u> READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

**Questions?** Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.





Acta Cryst. (1977). B33, 2590–2595

## The Crystal and Molecular Structure of the Tricyclic Antidepressant Chlorimipramine Hydrochloride: 3-Chloro-5-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenz[b, f]azepine Hydrochloride\*

By Michael L. Post

Division of Biological Sciences, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada K1A 0R6

AND ALAN S. HORN

Department of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, 2 Antonius Deusinglaan, Groningen, The Netherlands

(Received 22 December 1976; accepted 10 February 1977)

The structure of chlorimipramine hydrochloride,  $C_{19}H_{23}N_2Cl$ . HCl, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ , with a = 15.506 (3), b = 8.605 (1), c = 14.031 (4) Å,  $\beta = 96.69$  (2)°, Z = 4, was determined by the symbolic addition procedure and refined by block-diagonal least squares to an R of 0.048 for 2569 diffractometer-measured reflexions. The dihedral angle between the aromatic-ring mean planes is 123° and the tricyclic moiety has twist and skew values of 17.6° and 0.66 Å respectively. The dimethylaminopropyl side chain exhibits a gauche-trans rotamer which is unusual, in the solid state, for compounds of this type. Conformational parameters for the seven-membered hetero-ring in the title compound, and related tricycles, are discussed.

#### Introduction

Chlorimipramine (clomipramine or Anafranil<sup>R</sup>) is a clinically effective tricyclic antidepressant which is also used in the treatment of obsessional and phobic states (Beaumont, 1975). One of the most striking pharmacological aspects of the molecule is the ability to potently inhibit re-uptake of 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) into nerve endings; in this respect it is more potent than imipramine (Horn & Trace, 1974), another widely used tricyclic antidepressant, the structure of which has recently been reported (Post, Kennard & Horn, 1975). is possible that the inhibitory effect of It chlorimipramine upon 5-HT re-uptake may be related to its antidepressant action (Horn, 1976). In a continuation of attempts to gain a better understanding of which structural and conformational requirements are important for drugs of this class to exhibit such activity, the crystal structure of chlorimipramine hydrochloride has been determined.

#### Experimental

A sample of chlorimipramine hydrochloride was obtained through the courtesy of Geigy Pharmaceuticals. Single crystals were grown from chloroform/xylene mixtures and precession and Weissenberg photographic studies showed the crystal system to be monoclinic, with systematic absences 0k0: k odd; h0l: l odd, uniquely determining the space group  $P2_1/c$ . Cell parameters were obtained by least-squares treatment of the  $2\theta$  values of 21 reflexions measured on a diffractometer.

Crystal data

```
\begin{array}{lll} C_{19}H_{24}N_2Cl_2 & M_r = 351\cdot32 \\ \text{Monoclinic} & D_c = 1\cdot26 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \\ \text{Space group } P2_1/c & D_m = 1\cdot25 \ (1) \ (by \ flotation) \\ a & 15\cdot506 \ (3) \text{ Å} & Z = 4 \\ b = 8\cdot605 \ (1) & F(000) = 744 \\ c = 14\cdot031 \ (4) & \lambda(Cu \ K\alpha) = 1\cdot54178 \ \text{\AA}, \ \text{Ni \ filtered} \\ \beta = 96\cdot69 \ (2)^\circ & \mu(Cu \ K\alpha) = 31\cdot4 \ \text{cm}^{-1} \\ U = 1859\cdot4 \ \text{\AA}^3 & \text{Data collection temperature } 20 \ (1)^\circ \text{C} \end{array}
```

For data collection, a crystal fragment of trapezoidal shape, with average dimensions  $0.47 \times 0.39 \times 0.14$ mm, was mounted with  $c^*$  parallel to the  $\varphi$  axis of a Picker card-automated diffractometer, equipped with a scintillation detector having a helium-filled collimation tube (crystal-detector distance 55 cm) and a pulseheight analyser. Data were collected throughout the range  $2\theta \le 130^\circ$  with the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scan mode operating at  $2^{\circ}$  min<sup>-1</sup> in  $2\theta$ ; a 20 s background count was recorded at the higher  $2\theta$  limit. Scan ranges were  $1.8^{\circ}$  for  $2\theta <$ 90° and 2.4° for 90  $\leq 2\theta \leq 130^\circ$ . Three reflexions were monitored periodically for scaling purposes, to allow for crystal deterioration and instrument fluctuation; the largest of these scaling factors was 1.03. 3164 independent reflexions were recorded, of which 595 had  $I \leq I$ (thresh.) [where I(thresh.) is a predetermined threshold count value of  $0.1 \times \text{total back}$ ground or 80, whichever is greater]; these were treated

<sup>\*</sup> Issued as NRCC No. 15976.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> CIBA-Geigy Ltd.

as unobserveds and excluded during refinement. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied to the raw data and, because of the irregular crystal shape and a high absorption coefficient, an absorption correction calculated with the Gaussian integration formula (Busing & Levy, 1957) was also applied minimum transmission factors (maximum and 0.66/0.34). A correction for secondary extinction with the equations of Pinnock, Taylor & Lipson (1956) was made at a later stage.

#### Structure solution and refinement

Overall scale and temperature factors for the data were derived by means of a Wilson plot (Wilson, 1942), and normalized structure factors (E's) were calculated. The structure was solved in a straightforward manner by use of the symbolic addition procedure (Karle & Karle, 1966); all 23 non-hydrogen atoms appeared in the top 27 peaks of an E map calculated with 241 phased E's  $\geq 1.74$ . The structure was refined by block-diagonal least squares to an R of 0.083 after allowance for anisotropic thermal motion. A difference Fourier then showed sites for all H atoms and these were included in subsequent stages to be refined isotropically. Convergence was attained at an R of 0.048 for the observed reflexions (R = 0.074 with unobserveds included); for the observed data  $R_w^*$  was 0.058. In the final stages the weighting scheme took the form:  $\sqrt{w} =$ 

\* 
$$R_w = [\Sigma w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma w F_o^2]^{1/2}$$

Table 1. Final atomic positional parameters  $(\times 10^4)$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

	x	У	Z
Cl(1)	4531 (1)	2801 (1)	1471 (1)
Cl(2)	-219(1)	3880 (1)	3067 (1)
N(1)	2120 (1)	-131(2)	4187 (1)
N(2)	4455 (1)	2678 (3)	3615 (2)
C(1)	937 (2)	1757 (3)	3747 (1)
C(2)	318 (2)	2726 (3)	3968 (2)
C(3)	90 (2)	2812 (4)	4885 (2)
C(4)	558 (2)	1917 (4)	5571 (2)
C(5)	1709 (2)	144 (4)	6271 (2)
C(6)	2540 (2)	-741 (4)	6144 (2)
C(7)	2385 (2)	-3611(4)	5728 (2)
C(8)	2177 (2)	-4795 (4)	5075 (3)
C(9)	1935 (2)	-4436 (3)	4133 (3)
C(10)	1906 (2)	-2914 (3)	3837 (2)
C(11)	2117 (2)	-1717 (3)	4492 (2)
C(12)	2359 (2)	-2049 (3)	5458 (2)
C(13)	1244 (2)	959 (3)	5404 (2)
C(14)	1449 (2)	859 (3)	4448 (2)
C(15)	2441 (2)	116 (3)	3254 (2)
C(16)	2972 (2)	1571 (3)	3211 (2)
C(17)	3851 (2)	1410 (3)	3811 (2)
C(18)	5348 (2)	2357 (5)	4070 (3)
C(19)	4163 (2)	4230 (4)	3899 (3)

Table 2. Final hydrogen-atom positional  $(\times 10^3)$  and isotropic thermal parameters ( $Å^2 \times 10^3$ ) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$
H(1)	105 (2)	170(3)	312 (2)	37 (7)
H(3)	-37(2)	351 (4)	499 (2)	58 (9)
H(4)	42 (2)	199 (3)	617 (2)	46 (8)
H(51)	181 (2)	89 (4)	672 (2)	61 (9)
H(52)	129 (2)	-53 (3)	657 (2)	47 (8)
H(61)	294 (2)	3 (3)	588 (2)	47 (8)
H(62)	279 (2)	-109(3)	678 (2)	52 (8)
H(7)	254 (2)	-372(4)	630 (2)	57 (8)
H(8)	223 (2)	-577 (4)	532 (2)	82 (11)
H(9)	180 (2)	-529 (4)	366 (2)	62 (9)
H(10)	173 (2)	-265 (4)	321 (2)	62 (10)
H(151)	281 (2)	-75 (3)	313 (2)	42 (7)
H(152)	195 (1)	11 (3)	272 (2)	30 (6)
H(161)	305 (2)	173 (4)	252 (2)	62 (9)
H(162)	265 (2)	246 (4)	343 (2)	49 (8)
H(171)	416 (2)	48 (3)	367 (2)	37 (7)
H(172)	379 (2)	143 (3)	450 (2)	55 (8)
H(181)	551 (2)	139 (4)	383 (2)	70 (9)
H(182)	536 (2)	232 (4)	482 (3)	80 (11)
H(183)	564 (2)	322 (4)	394 (2)	75 (10)
H(191)	361 (2)	450 (4)	353 (3)	87 (12)
H(192)	407 (3)	415 (5)	456 (3)	98 (13)
H(193)	458 (2)	499 (4)	378 (2)	75 (10)
H(99)	442 (2)	273 (3)	297 (2)	39 (7)

 $|F_o|/M$  for  $|F_o| \le M$ ;  $\sqrt{w} = M/|F_o|$  for  $|F_o| > M$ , with M = 23.0, and the function minimized was  $\Sigma w(|F_o| |F_c|^2$ . Final atomic coordinates and H thermal parameters are presented in Tables 1 and 2.\* Scattering factors for elements other than H were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974); that for H was from Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). Programs used were from the NRC crystallographic system (Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber, 1973) and the figures are based upon ORTEP II plots (Johnson, 1970).

#### Discussion

A view of the molecule is given in Fig. 1 and bond lengths and angles for non-hydrogen atoms are presented in Table 3. The structure exhibits a fold between the aromatic rings, and the dihedral angle between the relevant mean planes (Table 4) is 123°. The dimethylaminopropyl side chain extends away from the central hetero-ring of the tricycle in a manner best described by the torsion angles listed in Table 5.

<sup>\*</sup> Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and bond lengths and angles involving H atoms have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 32528 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystal lography. 13 White Friars, Chester CH1 1NZ, England.

The configuration at the C(15)-C(16):C(16)-C(17)bonds is close to gauche-trans and is the first occurrence of such a rotamer at that position in these types of molecule in the solid state, and one which is predominant for imipramine hydrochloride in CDCl, solution (Abraham, Kricka & Ledwith, 1974). For free imipramine in solution, the same study finds no evidence for attainment of a preferred conformer. The positively charged amino group in the side chain, therefore, appears important in this respect; as must its interaction with the chloride ion and, to a certain extent, the solvent. Under physiological conditions amino protonation is most likely achieved, though possibly in the presence of counter ions other than Cl<sup>-</sup>. The results for NMR solution studies of impramine, or related compounds, with other anions would be of interest. Since some of the reported X-ray work has been performed with similar compounds in their protonated form, it is possibly surprising that gauche-trans conformers have not previously been observed in the solid state. This, however, is an indication of the small energy differences between rotamers and the effect which crystal-packing forces can have upon such an energy-sensitive system.

The bonding parameters of chlorimipramine hydrochloride, with few exceptions, closely parallel those of imipramine hydrochloride (Post, Kennard & Horn, 1975). Overall conformational characteristics for the tricyclic moiety of the present compound are similar to those of imipramine I; there are two molecules [(I) and (II) corresponding to (A) and (B) in the original paper] in the asymmetric unit for the latter structure. Some conformational parameters for these molecules, and others containing analogous tricyclic systems, are presented in Table 6, from which general features are apparent for this structural type. There is an asym-



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the cation in chlorimipramine hydrochloride. Non-hydrogen atoms are represented by 50% probability thermal ellipsoids, and hydrogen atoms by spheres of arbitrary radius.

metry to the fold of the tricycle. The atom labelling (Fig. 2) for all molecules listed in Table 6 is set such that the line of fold lies approximately along  $b \cdots X$ , with atom b closer to plane A, than a is to plane B. In

Tabl	e 3.	Bond	leng	ths	(Å)	and	angles	(°)	involving	g
the	non-	hydrog	gen	ator	ns,	with	estima	ted	standar	d
		G	levia	tion	s in	paren	theses			

C(1) - C(2)	1.377 (4)	C(8) - C(9)	1.367 (5)
C(1) - C(14)	1.393 (3)	C(9) - C(10)	1.373 (4)
C(2) - C(3)	1.373 (4)	C(10) - C(11)	1.394 (4)
C(2) - Cl(2)	1.742 (3)	C(11) - C(12)	1.393 (4)
C(3) - C(4)	1.373(4)	N(1) - C(11)	1.431(3)
C(4) - C(13)	1.387 (4)	N(1) - C(14)	1.426 (3)
C(13) - C(14)	1.415(3)	N(1) - C(15)	1.469 (3)
C(5) - C(13)	1.513(4)	C(15) - C(16)	1.503 (4)
C(5) - C(6)	1.525(4)	C(16) - C(17)	1.522(4)
C(6) - C(12)	1.486 (4)	C(17) - N(2)	1.484(4)
C(7) - C(12)	1.395(4)	N(2) - C(18)	1.481 (4)
C(7) - C(8)	1.383 (5)	N(2) - C(19)	1.480 (4)
	• /		
C(2)-C(1)-C(14)	121.3 (2)	C(7)-C(12)-C(11)	117.3 (3)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	121.4(3)	C(4) - C(13) - C(5)	116-2 (2)
C(1)-C(2)-Cl(2)	118.9 (2)	C(4)-C(13)-C(14)	117.2(2)
C(3)-C(2)-Cl(2)	119.7(2)	C(5)-C(13)-C(14)	126.5(2)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	117.0 (3)	C(1)-C(14)-C(13)	118.5 (2)
C(3)-C(4)-C(13)	124.6(3)	C(13)-C(14)-N(1)	$122 \cdot 1$ (2)
C(13) - C(5) - C(6)	117.9(2)	C(1)-C(14)-N(1)	119.4(2)
C(5)-C(6)-C(12)	110.8 (2)	C(11) - N(1) - C(14)	117.7(2)
C(12) - C(7) - C(8)	$122 \cdot 2(3)$	C(11) - N(1) - C(15)	114.7(2)
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	119.4(3)	C(14) - N(1) - C(15)	118.5 (2)
C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	120.2(3)	N(1)-C(15)-C(16)	113.6 (2)
C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	120.6(3)	C(15) - C(16) - C(17)	111.2(2)
C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	120.4(2)	C(16) - C(17) - N(2)	112.0(2)
C(10)-C(11)-N(1)	121.0(2)	C(17) - N(2) - C(18)	111.2(2)
C(12) - C(11) - N(1)	118.6 (2)	C(17) - N(2) - C(19)	113.2 (2)
C(6) - C(12) - C(7)	123.8 (3)	C(18) - N(2) - C(19)	110.7 (2)
C(6) - C(12) - C(11)	118.9 (2)	. ,,,	. (-,

Table 4. Equations of some least-squares mean p.	lanes
and displacements (Å) of atoms from these planes	s] for
chlorimipramine hydrochloride	•

Orthogonal axes X, Y and Z are based upon a, b and  $c^*$ .

Plane 1: $-0.632X - 0.751Y - 0.192Z + 2.714 = 0$
Plane 2: $0.983X - 0.054Y - 0.174Z - 1.492 = 0$
Plane 3: $-0.856X - 0.503Y - 0.123Z + 2.314 = 0$

Plane I		Pla	ne 2	Plane 3			
C(1)	0.007 Å	C(7)	0.001 Å	C(11)	0·115 Å		
C(2)	-0.013	C(8)	0.002	C(12)	-0.091		
C(3)	0.003	C(9)	-0.003	C(13)	0.087		
C(4)	0.010	C(10)	0.001	C(14)	-0.112		
C(13)	-0.015	C(11)	0.002	N(1)†	-0.565		
C(14)	0.006	C(12)	-0.003	N(2)†	-4.862		
C(5)†	-0.087	C(5)†	-1.424				
C(6)†	-0.308	C(6)†	-0.065				
N(1)†	0.031	N(1)†	0.056				
$Cl(2)^{+}$	-0.082						

<sup>†</sup> These atoms were not included in the mean-plane calculations.

C(11)–N(1)–C(15)–C(16)	-142·4°
N(1)-C(15)-C(16)-C(17)	71.2
C(15)-C(16)-C(17)-N(2)	167.6
C(16)-C(17)-N(2)-C(18)	-170.0
C(16)-C(17)-N(2)-C(19)	64.8
N(1)-C(11)-C(12)-C(6)	4.9

general b does lie slightly off plane A, but to an extent which is significantly and distinctly less than a from plane B. Because of the basic  $sp^2$  character of atoms c and f, a and A are coplanar, as are b and B (see also Table 4). An effect, as a result of strain in the heteroring, producing asymmetric distortion in bond parameters is then apparent, since  $\theta_a < \theta_b$  and the bridge angle  $\varphi_a > \varphi_b$ . This is the case for a variety of hetero atoms (and C) at X, with a bridge substituent at Z, and also appears independent of torsion angle in the a-b bond which has values from ~50-90°. {Three other structures containing a tricyclic nucleus, 3-(10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzola,d]cyclohepten-5-ylidene)-1-ethyl-2-methylpyrrolidine. HBr (Tokuma, Nojima &





Fig. 2. The azepine nucleus including the labelling referred to in Table 6. For chlorimipramine: X = N, Y = Cl, Z = H and  $R = -(CH_3)_3$ , N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.

	Х*	$AB^{\dagger}$ (°)	Twist‡ (°)	Skew§ (Å)	b from A(Å)	a from B(Å)	$egin{aligned} &  heta_a \ (\circ) \end{aligned}$	$egin{array}{c}  heta_b \ (^\circ) \end{array}$	φ <sub>a</sub> (°)	$(\circ)^{\varphi_b}$	τ <sub>а b</sub> ς (°)	Reference
(1) Chlorimipramine. HCl	Ν	123	17.6	0.66	0.308	1.424	116.2	123.8	117.9	110.8	63.2	(i)
(2) Imipramine HCl I	Ν	130	17.3	0.67	0.446	1.408	115.8	122.6	117.1	110.2	70.2	(ii)
(3) Imipramine. HCl II	Ν	123	8.4	0.61	0.04 #	1.04 #	116#	123#	122#	115#	49:#	(ii)
(4) Silipramine, HCl	Si	142	21.4	0.31	0.72	1.40	115.9	120.3	117.7	113.2	92.4	(iii)
<ul><li>(5) 5-Methoxy-5-phenyl- 10,11-dihydro-5<i>H</i>-dibenzo- [<i>b</i>, <i>f</i>  silepin</li></ul>	Si	138	16.9	0.29	0.46	1.23	115.6	119.9	118.4	112.0	88.3	(iv)
<ul> <li>(6) 5-p-N.N-Dimethylamino- phenyl-10,11-dihydro- 5H-dibenzolb, f  silepin</li> </ul>	Si	137	20.8	0.33	0.66	1.48	116.1	120.3	117.9	113.2	90.7	(v)
(7) Oxyprothepine	S	104	11.9	0.35	0.147	1.302	114.1	121.2	117.2	112.7	49.2	(vi)
(8) Dexclamol. HBr	С	120	14.8	0.54	0.220	1.368	112.3	121.2	120.0	107.4	62.3	(vii)
(9) "5,5-Diphenyl-10,11- dihydro-5 <i>H</i> -dibenzo- [ <i>b</i> , <i>f</i> ]germepin	Ge	156	1.0	0.19	0.05#	0.70#	120#	119#	120#	114#	101#	(viii)

Substituent *R* (see Fig. 2) is as follows: (1), (2) and (3) 
$$-(CH_2)_3$$
.  $N^+(CH_3)_2$ ; (4)  $\begin{pmatrix} (CH_2)_3 \cdot N^+(CH_3)_2 \\ H \\ (CH_4) \end{pmatrix}$ ; (5)  $\begin{pmatrix} (C_6H_5) \\ (CH_3) \end{pmatrix}$ ; (6)  $(CH_3)_2$ ; (7)  $(C_6H_5)_2$ ; (7)  $(CH_3)_2$ ; (7)  $(CH_3)_2$ ; (8)  $(CH_3)_2$ ; (9)  $(CH_3)_2$ ; (10)  $(CH_$ 

(6)  $\begin{pmatrix} (C_6H_4) \cdot N(CH_3)_2 \\ \vdots \\ (7) \text{ not substituted; (8) rings fused to } A; (9) \\ \begin{pmatrix} (C_6H_5) \\ \vdots \\ (C_6H_5) \end{pmatrix}$ . Y = Z = H (see Fig. 2), except for (1) Y = Cl, Z = H;

and (7)  $Y = H, Z = [N_2(CH_2)_4].(CH_2)_3.OH.$ 

References: (i) Present work. (ii) Post, Kennard & Horn (1975). (iii) Corey, Corey & Glick (1976). (iv) Paton, Cody, Corey, Corey & Glick (1976). (v) Corey, Corey & Glick (1975). (vi) Koch & Evrard (1974). (vii) Bird, Bruderlein & Humber (1976). (viii) Corey, Corey, Glick & Dueber (1972).

\* See Fig. 2 for ring substituent positions.

<sup>+</sup> Angle between planes A and B.

- # The average of torsion angle *cdef* and *efcd* (see also Corey, Corey & Glick, 1975).
- § Distance  $(c \cdots f)$   $(d \cdots e)$  (see also Corey, Corey, Glick & Dueber, 1972).
- Torsion angle *fahc*.
- I Molecule exhibits disorder in the ethano-bridge region.
- " Values with high associated e.s.d.'s because of ethano-bridge disorder.

Morimoto, 1968), 5-(bromomethylene)-10,11-dihydro-5H-dibenzo[a,d]cvcloheptene (Larsson, 1970), and butaclamol. HBr (Bird, Bruderlein & Humber, 1976), have been omitted from Table 6 because angular differences, of the magnitude found relevant above, would be insignificant with respect to the published estimated standard deviations. Data derived for the ethano-bridge regions of (3) and (9) in Table 6 are also subject to large e.s.d.'s but are included for the disorder aspect discussed below.} It is of interest that the values of  $\theta_a$  and  $\varphi_a$ , *i.e.* those angles in the maximum planar part of the tricycle, are similar to those (115 and 127° respectively) found in the related 5H-10,11-dioxodihydrodibenz[b, f]azepine (Denne & Mackay, 1970) for which A, B, a and b are nearly coplanar. Some other conformational trends for the heterocycle have been discussed previously (Corey, Corey & Glick, 1975, 1976).

In solution, the tricyclic moiety of a number of 5Hdibenz[b, f]azepines (and imipramine itself) is known to exist as an equilibrium state of conformational isomers, with hetero-ring inversion and ethano-bridge flip (caused by torsion about the a-b bond) being the important isomerization modes (Abraham, Kricka & Ledwith, 1974; Ellefson, Swenton, Bible & Green, 1976). The latter effect would result in a reversal of the roles of a and b; *i.e.* after a bridge flip, a would be closer to plane B than b is to A (Table 6). In passing from one bridge-flip position to another, the twist angle would decrease, pass through zero and then increase with opposite sign. It is noteworthy that the two molecules in Table 6 which exhibit ethano-bridge disorder (the remainder of the molecule is well defined by the X-ray analyses) each have a low twist angle, as can be anticipated for a ring system in which the bridge is at an intermediate flip position. The torsion angle at a-b would be, formally, close to zero for such a ring conformation, even though the analyses yield apparently high values, and result in an eclipsed configuration for the ethano-bridge H atoms. The observed disorder

is, therefore, most probably the result of a random relaxation of bond parameters in an attempt to minimize the unfavourable steric and energetic condition of the system. It is of interest that in the case of the germepin (Corey, Corey, Glick & Dueber, 1972) the twist angle is very close to zero and the bridge atoms appear disordered to a larger extent, judging from bond values in the region, than in imipramine II, for which the twist angle has a slightly higher value.

A packing diagram for chlorimipramine hydrochloride is given in Fig. 3. The chloride ion is hydrogen bonded to the amino nitrogen atom  $[N(2)\cdots Cl(1) =$ 3.026 (2) Å| with geometry compatible with tetrahedral bonding about N(2) (Table 7), as would be expected for the positively charged N atom. The lattice consists of layers of ionic interaction parallel to *bc*, centred at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ . The propyl side chain and tricyclic moieties lie away from this region and are not involved in any unusually short intermolecular contacts.

The coarse parameters which have been previously employed with the neuroleptics (Horn, Post & Kennard, 1975) to measure molecular compatibility with the possible spatial requirements for dopamine receptor blockade are, in this case: a distance of 4.87 Å between aromatic-ring centres  $(A \cdots B)$ , and ring centres to amine distances  $|A \cdots N(2), B \cdots N(2)|$  of 6.55 and 6.11 Å. Another parameter of possible significance is an estimate of the height of the amine group from the ring system, which could be taken as the perpendicular distance of N(2) from the extended mean plane of atoms C(11) $\rightarrow$ C(14); this value is 4.86 Å to the same

Table 7. Geometry around the amine nitrogen atom N(2)

$N(2)\cdots Cl(1)$	3∙026 (2) Å		
H(99)-N(2)-C(17)	105 (2)°	Cl(1)-N(2)-C(17)	108 (1)°
H(99) - N(2) - C(18)	113 (2)	Cl(1)-N(2)-C(18)	107 (1)
H(99) - N(2) - C(19)	104 (2)	Cl(1) - N(2) - C(19)	107 (1)
Cl(1)-H(99)-N(2)	171 (1)		



Fig. 3. Packing diagram for chlorimipramine hydrochloride with hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity. Broken lines connect the chloride ion, Cl(1), to the amine nitrogen atom, N(2).

side as N(1) (Table 4). The small energy differences between side-chain rotamers (Horn, Kennard, Motherwell, Post & Rodgers, 1974) and the conformational lability of the tricyclic moiety in solution, restrict confident application of data derived from the solid state to the relationship of structure to activity under physiological conditions. However, for tricyclic neuroleptic compounds, the use of values of the type defined above does allow qualitative conclusions to be drawn with regard to drug activity (Horn, Post & Kennard, 1975), and it is hoped to extend and improve this approach with the antidepressants. Recent studies with related, and conformationally rigid, spiro tricyclic compounds [*i.e.* there is a spiro C atom at X (Fig. 2)] (Rodgers, Kennard, Sheldrick & Horn, 1976; Carnmalm, Johansson, Rämsby, Stjernström, Ross & Ogren, 1976) may well provide more definitive stereochemical information concerning the molecular requirements for effective uptake site blockade.

MLP thanks the National Research Council of Canada for the award of a Research Associateship.

#### References

- ABRAHAM, R. J., KRICKA, L. J. & LEDWITH, A. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, pp. 1648–1654.
- AHMED, F. R., HALL, S. R., PIPPY, M. E. & HUBER, C. P. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System. Accession numbers 133–147 in J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309–346.
- BEAUMONT, G. (1975). J. Int. Med. Res. 3, Suppl. 1, 72-82.
- BIRD, P. H., BRUDERLEIN, F. T. & HUMBER, L. G. (1976). Canad. J. Chem. 54, 2715–2722.
- BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 180-182.
- CARNMALM, B., JOHANSSON, L., RÄMSBY, S., STJERNSTRÖM, N. E., ROSS, S. B. & OGREN, S.-O. (1976). *Nature, Lond.* **263**, 519–520.

- COREY, E. R., COREY, J. Y. & GLICK, M. D. (1975). J. Organomet. Chem. 101, 177-186.
- COREY, E. R., COREY, J. Y. & GLICK, M. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. B 32, 2025–2031.
- COREY, E. R., COREY, J. Y., GLICK, M. D. & DUEBER, J. S. (1972). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 9, 1379–1383.
- DENNE, W. A. & MACKAY, M. F. (1970). Tetrahedron, 26, 4435-4442.
- ELLEFSON, C. R., SWENTON, L., BIBLE, R. H. JR & GREEN, P. M. (1976). *Tetrahedron*, **32**, 1081–1084.
- HORN, A. S. (1976). Postgrad. Med. J. 52, 25-30.
- HORN, A. S., KENNARD, O., MOTHERWELL, W. D. S., POST, M. L. & RODGERS, J. R. (1974). *Molecular and Quantum Pharmacology*, edited by E. BERGMANN & B. PULLMAN. Dordrecht, Holland: Reidel.
- HORN, A. S., POST, M. L. & KENNARD, O. (1975). J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 27, 553–563.
- HORN, A. S. & TRACE, R. C. A. M. (1974). Brit. J. Pharmacol. 51, 399-403.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1970). ORTEP II. Report ORNL-3794 (second revision). Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KARLE, J. & KARLE, I. L. (1966). Acta Cryst. 21, 849-859.
- KOCH, M. H. J. & EVRARD, G. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2925-2928.
- LARSSON, K. (1970). Acta Chem. Scand. 24, 1503-1511.
- PATON, W. F., CODY, V., COREY, E. R., COREY, J. Y. & GLICK, M. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 2509–2512.
- PINNOCK, P. R., TAYLOR, C. A. & LIPSON, H. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 173–178.
- Post, M. L., KENNARD, O. & HORN, A. S. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1008–1013.
- RODGERS, J. R., KENNARD, O., SHELDRICK, G. M. & HORN, A. S. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 1293-1295.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- Токима, Ү., Nojima, H. & Morimoto, Y. (1968). Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 44, 2665–2670.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, Lond. 150. 151-152.