

## NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

**Places to go: opencourseware consortium**  
Downes, Stephen

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. /  
La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

### **Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:**

*Innovate, 3, 3, 2007-01*

**NRC Publications Archive Record / Notice des Archives des publications du CNRC :**  
<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=74bf84cf-60ca-45d1-af28-fbaa08d6183c>  
<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=74bf84cf-60ca-45d1-af28-fbaa08d6183c>

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at  
<https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright>

READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site  
<https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits>

LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

**Questions?** Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at  
PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.



National Research  
Council Canada

Conseil national  
de recherches Canada

Institute for  
Information Technology

Institut de technologie  
de l'information

# **NRC - CNRC**

---

## ***Places to Go: OpenCourseWare Consortium \****

Downes, S.  
January 2007

\* published in Innovate. Volume 3, Number 3. An official publication of the Fischler School of Education and Human Services at Nova. January 31, 2007. NRC 50350. Invited Review.

Copyright 2007 by  
National Research Council of Canada

Permission is granted to quote short excerpts and to reproduce figures and tables from this report, provided that the source of such material is fully acknowledged.

**Places to Go:****OpenCourseWare Consortium**<http://www.ocwconsortium.org/>*by Stephen Downes*

The [OpenCourseWare Consortium](http://www.ocwconsortium.org/) is a collaboration of over 100 colleges and universities from around the world whose mission is to "advance education and empower people worldwide through opencourseware." ([n.d.](#), "About Us," ¶1). The Consortium defines an OpenCourseWare [OCW] site as one that contains high quality course materials available for use and adaptation under an open license, but that does not typically provide certification or access to instructors.

When the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) announced a plan to enable open access to its learning materials—a project that would become the [OpenCourseWare Initiative](#)—it was expected that many institutions around the world would embark on a similar program. Last year's announcement that the Open University was undertaking a similar effort reinforced that view. In all, several dozen institutions have launched open access initiatives in the last few years. The [OpenCourseWare Consortium](#) Web site is the beginning of a clearinghouse for courseware available from these institutions.

In terms of design, the Consortium Web site is very ordinary, consisting essentially of a set of pages linked together; contrary to expectations, there is no discussion or community component. The thrust of the site is evident in the three major divisions of the home page: [Use](#), [Share](#), and [Support](#). The front page also offers a list of news articles about member institutions' open courseware efforts and the open courseware movement. Available via RSS, the news articles are worth the read for the occasional gem among the publicity pieces, such as an item about [Meta](#) OpenCourseWare for Moodle.

The [Use](#) section is the most disappointing. The link opens a page that lists participating universities organized by country. Around two dozen institutions are listed, including six from the United States and nine from Japan. Links to individual universities lead to each institution's OCW page, but users are on their own from that point forward. In some cases, the university page offers a list of courses, like on the China Quality OpenCourseWare ([CNQOCW](#)) Web site. On others, as on the [Tufts](#) site for instance, the link leads to a standard OCW introduction page. The best bet for Consortium readers actually wanting to find and use open courseware is the search link at the upper right. This is a link to a Google search with the "site" parameter set as a disjunction of the participating institutions' open courseware sites. The results from a given search—a [search for frogs](#), for example—are relatively precise, albeit suffering from Google's inability to order results chronologically or by usefulness for educational purposes.

From the home page (and also from the menu bar) is a link to the [About Us](#) page. On the left, this page lists the [organization members](#) by country. Each of these links points to a page describing the member institution and showing its logo; oddly, no links to the institutions are provided from these pages. The main part of the page describes the Consortium's mission ("to advance education and empower people worldwide through opencourseware") and criteria for institutional membership (the publishing of "at least 10 courses in a format that meets the agreed-upon definition of an opencourseware") ([n.d.](#), "About Us," ¶1, 3). Presumably there is more documentation offline, for this page is unfortunately vague, never stating, for example, the "agreed-upon definition."

There is a Frequently Asked Questions ([FAQ](#)) page that offers more information, but this cannot be found from the home page or on the About Us page; the only apparent link is from the [Feedback](#) page. Elsewhere on the site, access to the FAQ is disguised as a tiny "Help" link at the bottom of the page. The FAQ includes a better description of an OpenCourseWare project ("a free and open digital publication of high quality

university-level teaching materials—including syllabi, lecture notes, assignments, and exams—organized as courses" [(n.d., "Help", ¶3)], refers back to MIT as the inspiration, and outlines the case for the OpenCourseWare project.

The second major part of the site, [Share](#), presents [the case for open courseware](#) on its own page and links to a series of resources describing [how to](#) create an OCW project. The How To section is a gateway to a series of pages useful to people new to open courseware projects, including key points on [intellectual property](#), staff [organization](#), and [technology](#). Some of these pages are accompanied by short [case studies](#) featuring photogenic professors. There is no list of the case studies.

The third major section, [Support](#), describes ways to help the initiative. There are short sections describing how foundations, individuals, and copyright holders can help, but each concludes with what is probably really the only way to help—to send e-mail to the feedback form. Links to the [Hewlett](#) and [Mellon](#) foundations, two organizations that have supported open courseware, follow.

The OpenCourseWare Consortium site is useful because it describes an important initiative that is developing rapidly, but an air of exclusivity permeates the site. As with its commercial counterpart, the [Open Content Alliance](#), the Consortium is made up of large institutions and the only means of access to the OCW project is to send e-mail. If there is a community—and one presumes there is—it is well hidden; my searches for traces of a mailing list or Google or Yahoo group came up empty. It is as though these organizations are saying that they will manage open courseware for the rest of us. I do not believe that this is true, but it is unfortunate that the main access point to the OpenCourseWare Consortium is an impenetrable barrier.

The combined knowledge of the world's cultures is entrusted to our universities, but it does not belong to the universities. It belongs to society as a whole. It therefore falls on these universities to be open and responsive to society as a whole, not only with the content of open courseware but also with respect to the development and management of that content. Developing a community and encouraging discussion would be the first step toward honoring this trust.

## References

OpenCourseWare Consortium. n.d. About us. <http://www.ocwconsortium.org/about/index.shtml> (accessed January 29, 2007).

OpenCourseWare Consortium. n.d. Help. <http://www.ocwconsortium.org/help/index.html> (accessed January 29, 2007).

## COPYRIGHT AND CITATION INFORMATION FOR THIS ARTICLE

*This article may be reproduced and distributed for educational purposes if the following attribution is included in the document:*

**Note:** This article was originally published in *Innovate* (<http://www.innovateonline.info/>) as: Downes, S. 2007. Places to Go: OpenCourseWare Consortium. *Innovate* 3 (3). <http://www.innovateonline.info/index.php?view=article&id=445> (accessed April 24, 2008). The article is reprinted here with permission of the publisher, [The Fischler School of Education and Human Services at Nova Southeastern University](#).

*To find related articles, view the webcast, or comment publically on this article in the discussion forums, please go to <http://www.innovateonline.info/index.php?view=article&id=445> and select the appropriate function from the sidebar.*