

# NRC Publications Archive Archives des publications du CNRC

### Determination of inorganic mercury in petroleum production water by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry following photochemical vapor generation

Francisco, Barbara Bruna Alves; Rocha, Anderson Araujo; Grinberg, Patricia; Sturgeon, Ralph E.; Cassella, Ricardo Jorgensen

This publication could be one of several versions: author's original, accepted manuscript or the publisher's version. / La version de cette publication peut être l'une des suivantes : la version prépublication de l'auteur, la version acceptée du manuscrit ou la version de l'éditeur.

For the publisher's version, please access the DOI link below./ Pour consulter la version de l'éditeur, utilisez le lien DOI ci-dessous.

#### Publisher's version / Version de l'éditeur:

https://doi.org/10.1039/C5JA00444F Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 2016-01-06

#### NRC Publications Record / Notice d'Archives des publications de CNRC:

https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/view/object/?id=b6e4ccbb-756a-497e-ae1d-3eeae528a13b https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/voir/objet/?id=b6e4ccbb-756a-497e-ae1d-3eeae528a13b

Access and use of this website and the material on it are subject to the Terms and Conditions set forth at <a href="https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright">https://nrc-publications.canada.ca/eng/copyright</a> READ THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE USING THIS WEBSITE.

L'accès à ce site Web et l'utilisation de son contenu sont assujettis aux conditions présentées dans le site <u>https://publications-cnrc.canada.ca/fra/droits</u> LISEZ CES CONDITIONS ATTENTIVEMENT AVANT D'UTILISER CE SITE WEB.

Questions? Contact the NRC Publications Archive team at

PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca. If you wish to email the authors directly, please see the first page of the publication for their contact information.

**Vous avez des questions?** Nous pouvons vous aider. Pour communiquer directement avec un auteur, consultez la première page de la revue dans laquelle son article a été publié afin de trouver ses coordonnées. Si vous n'arrivez pas à les repérer, communiquez avec nous à PublicationsArchive-ArchivesPublications@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca.







View Article Online View Journal



## Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: B. B. A. Francisco, A. A. Rocha, P. Grinberg, R. E. Sturgeon and R. J. Cassella, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/jaas

1	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
2	DETERMINATION OF INORGANIC MERCURY IN PETROLEUM PRODUCTION WATER BY
3	INDUCTIVELY COUPLED PLASMA OPTICAL EMISSION SPECTROMETRY FOLLOWING
4	PHOTOCHEMICAL VAPOR GENERATION
5	
6	Barbara Bruna A. Francisco <sup>ab</sup> , Anderson A. Rocha <sup>b</sup> , Patricia Grinberg <sup>a</sup> , Ralph E.
7	Sturgeon <sup>a</sup> and Ricardo J. Cassella <sup>b</sup> *
8	
9	<sup>a</sup> Measurement Science and Standards, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa,
10	Ontario, Canada K1A 0R9.
11	
12	<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Química Analítica, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Outeiro de
13	São João Batista, s/n, Centro, Niterói, RJ, Brazil 24020-150.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	* Corresponding author
29	Email address: cassella@vm.uff.br
30	Tel.: + 55 21 26292344
31	Fax: + 55 21 26292143
32	

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

A photochemical vapor generation system coupled to an inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES) was used for the determination of inorganic mercury in high salinity water arising from offshore petroleum exploration platforms, i.e., production water (PW). The system comprised a 17 W UV grid lamp for photogeneration along with two gas/liquid separators functioning in tandem to minimize aerosol transport into the plasma. Analytical conditions, including type and concentration of low molecular weight organic acid, UV exposure time and solution pH were optimized. Continuous-flow processing of a sample containing 1.63 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid at pH 1.5 for a UV irradiation time of 30 s permitted Hg(II) to be determined based on the method of additions. Procedural limits of detection and quantification of 1.2 and 4.0  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, were achieved. In the absence of a suitable Certified Reference Material with which to validate methodology, recoveries of 10 - 40  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Hg(II) spikes added to real samples were examined and ranged from 79 to 121%. 

Keywords: Petroleum production waters; Mercury; Photochemical vapor generation;
 Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry

### 57 1. Introduction

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

According to the Brazilian National Council of the Environment (CONAMA, Brazil), production water (PW) is the water produced together with petroleum [1]. It comprises a mixture of endogenous (formation) water (naturally present in geological reservoirs) and injected water (water introduced into the reservoir to enhance petroleum yield).<sup>1</sup> It is hazardous due its complex composition and, sometimes, its volume exceeds that of oil/gas production by five-fold.<sup>2-3</sup> Production water is considered a wastewater with a natural chemical composition reflecting the geological characteristics of the reservoir of origin.<sup>2-3</sup> This may include toxic substances, such as aromatic fractions of oil (BTEX and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons), organic acids, phenols and alkylated phenols. Additionally, toxic elements (arsenic, lead, mercury, among others) and radionuclides can be present<sup>4</sup> in a matrix of high salinity and temperature differing significantly from that of seawater.<sup>5</sup> Added chemicals, such as corrosion inhibitors, scale inhibitors, biocides, oxygen scavengers, emulsion-breakers or deoilers, antifoam agents, viscosifiers, hydrate inhibitors and cleaners may also be present.<sup>6</sup> All such compounds influence the composition of PW and its physico-chemical properties. Clearly, due to the environmental risk associated with it, and considering the fact that a fraction of treated PW usually enters the ocean, there is interest in developing analytical methods to characterize such waste to enable monitoring its characteristics before it is discharged.

Toxic inorganic species (trace metals and semi-metals) in PW are an environmental and health concern because of the risk of their bioaccumulation. To date, only a few metallic contaminants (i.e., Pb, V, Cr and Mn) have been determined

in PW in attempts to regulate the control of this effluent.<sup>6-10</sup> Although mercury hasview Article Online
high toxicity and tendency to bioaccumulate in marine animals and ultimately enter
the human food chain, no reports of its determination in PW are available. Moreover,
most mercury released into the marine environment is in the inorganic form and may
undergo biogeochemical transformations, such as bacterial methylation, converting it
into the more toxic form of methylmercury (CH<sub>3</sub>Hg<sup>+</sup>).<sup>11-13</sup>

Several analytical techniques have been employed for the determination of mercury at trace concentrations. The most popular is, undoubtedly, cold vapor generation (CVG) using chemical reducing agents such as NaBH<sub>4</sub>,<sup>14-15</sup> stannous chloride,<sup>16</sup> sodium cyanotrihydroborate (III)<sup>17</sup> and sodium/potassium tetrahydroborate (THB)<sup>18</sup> or by electrolytic reduction.<sup>19</sup> CVG is usually coupled with various detection platforms, such as atomic absorption (CVG-AAS),<sup>14-15,19</sup> atomic fluorescence (CVG-AFS)<sup>18</sup> or inductively coupled plasmas (CVG-ICP-MS and CVG-ICP-OES).<sup>16,18</sup> These techniques are sometimes operated with flow injection (FIA) systems<sup>15,20</sup> to increase the degree of automation and enhance productivity. Despite their high detection power, alternative approaches to reduction based on photochemical reactions are currently being pursued. Exposure of samples to UV radiation in the presence of low molecular weight (LMW) organic compounds, such as formic, acetic and propionic acids,<sup>21</sup> generates electron donors such as <sup>•</sup>H and <sup>•</sup>CO radicals which are capable of reducing metal ions to various vapor species.<sup>21-22</sup> 

101 Photochemical vapor generation (PVG) possess several advantages over 102 traditional CVG techniques, including greener chemistry, simplicity, low-cost and 103 enhanced freedom from possible interferences.<sup>23</sup> These characteristics make PVG 104 attractive for the determination of inorganic mercury in PW samples. The present work

~		
3		
Δ		
7		
5		
6		
7		
1		
8		
~		
9		
1	0	
à	Ĭ	
I	I	
1	2	
4	~	
้า	J	
ř	4	
g.	Ē	
4	Э	
Б	6	
ā	-	
ą	1	
a	8	
Ì	õ	
2	9	
2	0	
ğ	-	
i de la	1	
Ö	2	
g.	ົ	
¥,	J	
Z,	4	
ച്ച	F	
Ľ,	Э	
2	6	
ž	-	
¥	1	
⊉	8	
Bio	ō	
Ž	9	
З	0	
2	1	
ଞ୍ଚ	I	
3	2	
Š	ი	
8	J	
ඨ	4	
ڼ	Б	
පී	J	
3	6	
ð	7	
Pau	'	
3	8	
දු	۵	
Pu l	J	
3	0	
ğ	1	
S	1	
身	2	
2	ß	
7	2	
4	4	
Δ	5	
7	2	
4	6	
Δ	7	
-T	<u>'</u>	
4	8	
Δ	a	
7	2	
5	0	
5	1	
2	¦	
5	2	
5	3	
2	2	
5	4	
5	5	
-	~	
5	6	
5	7	
2	<u>'</u>	
5	8	
5	a	

60

focuses on the development and optimization of a UV-photochemical generation variable online
approach to the determination of inorganic mercury in samples of high salinity artificial
PW samples (APW) and authentic PW obtained from Brazilian offshore petroleum
exploration platforms.

109

#### 110 **2. Experimental**

111

#### 112 **2.1. Instrumentation**

113

114 A Perkin-Elmer 3000 Optima ICP-OES (Thornhill, Ontario, CA) was interfaced to 115 a home-made PVG system. The experimental setup consisted of a UV photoreactor 116 and two gas-liquid separators. The former comprised a 17 W low pressure UV mercury 117 grid lamp (Analamp, Claremont, CA) over which was placed a quartz tube (25 cm x 2.5 118 mm i.d. x 3.5 mm o.d.; 5 mL internal volume), shaped to conform to the discharge 119 lamp geometry, to permit irradiation of the sample. The lamp was enclosed in a metal 120 chamber flushed with nitrogen to prevent ozone formation and cooled with the help of 121 a fan to avoid overheating.

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

One gas-liquid separator (GLS I) was placed immediately at the output of the photoreactor. A carrier flow of argon was introduced at the bottom of the GLS and passed through a sintered glass frit which supported the irradiated liquid sample. A second gas-liquid separator (GLS II), filled with glass beads of varying sizes, was placed in tandem to the first and simply served to reduce residual aerosol carryover to the plasma. This arrangement was particularly beneficial when organic constituents were added to the system to study the impact of interferences on the analysis of real

samples. A 70 cm length of PTFE (4.0 mm i.d) tubing connected the outlet of GLS I (or Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
GLS II, depending on the experiment) to the base of the quartz torch. A T connector
was placed after GLS II to introduce an Ar carrier gas (*via* the instrument nebulizer gas
stream) into the system and transport the elemental mercury vapor to the ICP-OES
torch. A schematic diagram of the setup is presented in Fig. 1.

A Gilson Miniplus 2 peristaltic pump (Gilson, Middleton, WI, USA), fitted with 135 1.42 mm i.d. Tygon<sup>®</sup> tubing, was used to deliver samples (and standard solutions) to 136 the photoreactor. A second such pump, fitted with 2.79 mm id Tygon<sup>®</sup> tubing, was 137 employed to extract waste from GLS I. All connections and tees were constructed from 138 low pressure flangeless fittings (Upchurch Scientific Inc., Oak Harbor, WA, USA).

Polyethylene vessels and sampling tubes (Fisher Scientific Canada, Ottawa, ON, CA) of different volumes, used in all steps of the procedure, were pre-cleaned in order to reduce contamination. These were kept overnight in a solution of 5% v/v nitric acid, rinsed several times with high-purity deionized water and dried in a class-10 clean hood before use.

Salinity measurements were performed with a model S/Mill-E hand-held
refractometer furnished by Atago (Saitama, Japan).

- **2.2. Reagents, solutions and materials**

149 Analytical-grade reagents were used in all experiments. All solutions were 150 prepared using high-purity deionized water (DIW) of 18.2 MΩ-cm resistivity produced 151 in the laboratory using a commercial deionization system (Barnstead/Thermolyne, 152 Dubuque, IA, USA). A 1,000  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> solution of inorganic mercury, purchased from SCP

Science (Quebec, CA), served as the primary calibration standard. Formic, glacial acetic Anticle Online and propionic acids were sourced from Fisher Chemicals (Fair Lawn, NJ, USA). Nitric acid was purified in-house prior to use by sub-boiling distillation of analytical grade feedstock using a quartz still. All solutions were prepared in a class-10 environment within a clean laboratory.

#### **2.3.** Preparation of artificial PW samples and collection of real field samples

Artificial PW samples (APW) served for method development (due to a limited supply of authentic PW samples) and to check instrument robustness. Optimization of the methodology was undertaken using spiked solutions of DIW containing varying contents of potential individual interferents and LMW. APW samples were prepared by dissolving inorganic salts and organic reagents (KCl, MgCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O; CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; BaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O; SrCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O; FeCl<sub>3</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O; NaHCO<sub>3</sub>; Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; NaCl; NaBr; CH<sub>3</sub>COONa; phenol) in deionized water in accordance with the information given in Table 1. The salinity of each APW sample is presented in Table 1 as well.

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Authentic PW samples, supplied by Petrobras, were collected from Brazilian offshore petroleum platforms and stored in pre-cleaned polyethylene containers. They were acidified to pH 1.8 with high purity nitric acid to ensure stability of mercury.

**2.4. General analytical procedure** 

175 ICP-OES operating parameters, reagent concentrations and physical parameters
 176 of the system were all optimized. Spectrometer parameters are summarized in Table 2.

The optimized method was applied to the determination of mercury inw Article Online
 authentic PW and spiked APW samples. For all optimization studies, a working solution
 containing 10 μg L<sup>-1</sup> inorganic mercury together with an appropriate concentration of
 LMW was employed. Samples and test solutions were pumped through the
 photoreactor and subsequently to GLS I and GLS II from which the mercury vapor was
 directed to the ICP-OES.

Preparation and manipulation of all samples was conducted in a class-10 environment within a clean laboratory. PW samples were first passed through a disposable syringe filter (pore diameter 0.45 μm) to remove oil and suspended particulate material. Both artificial and real samples were diluted ten-fold prior to analysis to minimize the impact of the sample matrix.

#### **2.5.** Sample analysis

Before each measurement, samples were diluted 10-fold with DIW and sufficient formic acid then added to yield a concentration of 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup>. In practice, 25 mL of sample were mixed with 18.75 mL formic acid and approximately 200 mL DIW then added. This solution was transferred to a precleaned 250-mL volumetric flask and a sufficient volume of 6 mol L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution added to yield a pH of 1.5. The final volume was made to the mark by addition of DIW and the sample was pumped into the photoreactor at a flow rate of 1.57 mL min<sup>-1</sup> for the measurement of Hg signals.

**2.5. Safety considerations** 

The full range of volatile species generated during PVG is unknown and should be considered toxic. Inhalation and contact with skin and eyes should be avoided and, consequently, all work should be performed under well-ventilated conditions.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

Optimization studies were based on earlier work by Vieira et al.,<sup>24</sup> Grinberg and Sturgeon<sup>25</sup> and Zheng et al.,<sup>26</sup> which detailed the initial selection of low molecular weight (LMW) organic acids and their concentrations, irradiation time and the evaluation of the efficiency of PVG. As noted earlier, all test solutions used for optimization comprised high purity DIW to which the individual components of interest were added and subsequently examined for response in order to systematically isolate the impact of each variable. After optimization, the methodology was first applied to spiked APW samples to determine spike recoveries and robustness before subsequent analysis of real PW samples.

#### **3.1.** Selection of low molecular weight organic acid and its concentration

Formic, acetic and propionic acids were tested for their relative efficiency for photochemical vapor generation. Sufficient speed of reduction (likely due to radical generation through photolysis of the LMW) is obtained only when an adequate concentration of the organic acid is present in the reaction medium.<sup>27</sup> The effect of

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

their concentration was investigated in the range 0 - 2.71, 0 - 1.66 and 0 - 1.35 mol  $V^{th}_{DOI:10.1039/C5JA00444F}$ for formic, acetic and propionic acid, respectively. The time of exposure to UV light was maintained constant at 100 s and all tests were performed at an added spike concentration of 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup> inorganic mercury.

From Figure 2, it is evident that response increased with concentration of LMW acid up to 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup> for formic acid while maximum signals were observed at 1.66 and 1.01 mol L<sup>-1</sup> for acetic and propionic acids, respectively. At higher concentrations, the signals remained practically constant, indicating that no additional photochemical reduction of inorganic Hg could be achieved. These results are in accord with those of others,<sup>28-29</sup> who demonstrated that the generation efficiency in such media is higher than in the presence of other organic molecules, such as aldehydes and ketones. Additionally, these short chain acids provide a more favorable photoreduction environment than methylation and ethylation processes.<sup>30</sup> 

Propionic acid resulted in the formation of a large amount of foam in the GLS, inducing some instability in the plasma. Foam production could be associated with the release of  $CO_2$  and formation of  $CH_3Hg^+$ , as showed by Yin *et al.*.<sup>28</sup> Thus, no further studies were performed using this acid. For subsequent work, a formic acid concentration of 1.63 mol L<sup>-1</sup> and an acetic acid concentration of 1.66 mol L<sup>-1</sup> were used.

 3.2. Influence of irradiation time

PVG is enhanced in the presence of most organic acids because of their strong
 absorption in the deep UV.<sup>30</sup> Although the mechanism of PVG of mercury is not

entirely clear, the general concept is that absorption of UV radiation by LMW acid variate Online medium induces radical formation, promoting reduction of mercury.<sup>26,30</sup> Irradiation time plays an important role in this process because the formation of radicals is enhanced with an increase in the amount of energy (through photon absorption) delivered to the system.

When test solutions containing 1.62 mol  $L^{-1}$  formic acid or 1.66 mol  $L^{-1}$  acetic acid were delivered to the photoreactor with the UV lamp off, response was indistinguishable from instrumental noise, highlighting the need for UV irradiation to achieve vapor generation. Test solutions were then pumped to the reactor with the lamp on and the irradiation time was varied from 30 to 180 s. For formic acid, maximum response was achieved using an irradiation time of 30 s. Longer irradiation times decreased signal intensity until no signal above background noise occurred when the irradiation time reached 130 s. This may have been a consequence of the longer residence times of reduced mercury in the acidic medium giving rise to re-oxidation; it appears to be a common phenomenon in such PVG systems.<sup>24-26</sup> 

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

When acetic acid was used for photogeneration, the kinetics of the process was noticeably different, requiring a 60s irradiation before any product was detected. Maximum response was achieved with a 90 s irradiation time, after which it continuously decreased with loner times in the same manner as with formic acid.

**3.3. Influence of sample pH** 

271 The effect of sample pH was examined over the range 0.5 to 8.0 in the presence 272 of both LMW organic acids. It is noteworthy that the original pH of a 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> solution

of inorganic mercury in both 1.63 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic and 1.66 mol L<sup>-1</sup> acetic acid was  $2^{\text{Wew Article Online}}_{DOI:10.10397C5JA00444F}$ The pH of such solutions was adjusted to the desired value using dilute solutions of either NH<sub>4</sub>OH or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Results are presented in Fig. 3.

276 Maximum response was achieved at pH 1.5 and 2 for formic and acetic acid, 277 respectively. At high pH, signals decreased, possibly due to  $\cdot$ OH radicals preventing 278 reduction of Hg(II) or competing via oxidation reactions.<sup>31</sup> Yin et al.<sup>28</sup> reported that 279 methylation and ethylation processes were most favorable when the pH was 4.0 in 280 acetic acid solutions. This may explain the decrease in signal response over this pH 281 region. At low pH, re-oxidation of the Hg<sup>0</sup> may rapidly occur in the solution due to the 282 presence of OH and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> species likely generated by the UV irradiation.<sup>28,32</sup>

#### **3.4 Interferences**

The effect of the sample matrix may be significant due to its complex nature as it contains a large variety of organic and inorganic substances that may interfere with the PVG process. The interference study comprised investigations along three avenues: (i) evaluation of the influence of chloride ion (the main constituent); (ii) evaluation of possible organic interferences using phenol, toluene, ethanol and methanol as model compounds, and (iii) evaluation of the influence of additional inorganic species (selected cations and anions known to be present in such samples).<sup>2,4-5,33-39</sup>

**3.4.1. Influence of chloride ion** 

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

Sodium chloride is the principal inorganic component of PW samples and is present at concentrations higher than that in seawater; sample salinity ranges up to 267‰. As chloride ion forms stable complexes with Hg(II), its effect on response was studied in the range 0 to 80 g  $L^{-1}$ . Results obtained in both formic and acetic acid media are depicted in Fig. 4. In formic acid, signal intensity increased with increasing Cl<sup>-</sup> concentration up to 60 g  $L^{-1}$ , beyond which response slightly decreased. The impact of chloride is thus minimal in terms of complexation reactions removing Hg(II) from the vapor generation process; enhanced signals may be a consequence of increased efficiency of the gas/liquid phase separation process due to the rising ionic strength of the medium (i.e., salting out effect). On the other hand, in acetic acid, signals were close to instrumental noise even when the salt was present at concentrations as low as 5 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Although an interesting observation, the reason for such signal suppression in acetic acid was not further investigated and is beyond the scope of this study. Based on these results, use of acetic acid for PVG of inorganic mercury in real samples of PW was not further investigated.

#### **3.4.2.** Evaluation of potential interferences from organic constituents

 The presence of organic substances in PW samples is very common, since the formation water, which is mixed with the injected water to yield production water, is in contact with the oil in the reservoir. In order to evaluate the influence of organic compounds on PVG of inorganic mercury, phenol, toluene, ethanol and methanol were

used as model compounds. Results are presented in Table 3. In this study tolerabilev Article Online
 concentration was defined as the maximum concentration of interferent at which a
 15% deviation of the response occurs.

Phenol has been reported to be in the range of  $0.004-1.20 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in PW samples of varying origin.<sup>2,34,39-40</sup> The effect of toluene was examined in the range 0 – 0.63% in accordance with the findings in ref 40. Ethanol and methanol were also tested due to their possible presence in produced water samples although no reports of their concentrations are known.

The concentration of phenol was varied from  $0 - 15 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  as it is known to be an efficient quencher of photochemical reactions. Thus, although this concentration range is notably higher than that reported in real PW samples, it serves as a worst case test of the impact of high amounts of generic organic species on the proposed methodology. In the formic acid medium, Hg signals decreased continuously with increasing phenol concentration. At a concentration of 15 mg  $L^{-1}$  phenol, response was suppressed 30%. Akbal and Onar<sup>41</sup> and Roig *et al.*<sup>42</sup> have demonstrated that phenol photolyses on exposure to UV light, even under low pH conditions, yielding intermediate species which may impair the PVG efficiency of mercury. This was also evident from this study; when phenol was added to the sample after the UV photochemical generation, by continuous on-line addition through a T connection, no effect was observed, even at a concentration of 15 mg  $L^{-1}$ . No significant variation (± 15%) was observed in the presence of either toluene, in the range 0 - 0.63% (0 - 5.80mol  $L^{-1}$ ), ethanol 0 – 3% (0 - 13.8 mol  $L^{-1}$ ) or methanol 0-10% (0 – 32 mol  $L^{-1}$ ). 

3.4.3. Evaluation of possible interferences from inorganic species

346	
347	Three separate groups of inorganic species were evaluated for their effect on
348	PVG response: (i) metal and semi-metal ions such as Zn(II), Ni(II), Fe(III), Pb(II), As(III),
349	Cr(III), Se(IV), Sb(II) and Cu(II); (ii) alkali metals and alkaline earth ions such as Ba(II),
350	K(I), Mg(II), Sr(II) and Ca(II) and (iii) $NO_3^{-}$ , which is usually added to samples as nitric
351	acid to ensure preservation. The concentration ranges tested were based on earlier
352	studies that examined the presence of these species in PW samples <sup>2,4-5,33-39</sup> and are
353	summarized in Table 3. This evaluation was only performed in formic acid media
354	because, as noted earlier, use of acetic acid to induce PVG of inorganic mercury in
355	highly saline media was not viable.

No significant interference was observed in the presence of K(I), Ba(II), Sr(II), Mg(II), Cu(II), Pb(II) and Zn (II) over the concentration ranges studied, whereas the presence of Ca(II), Ni(II), Sb(III), As(III), Se(IV), Fe(III) and Cr(III) was significant. Maximum tolerable concentrations for each tested interferent are summarized in Table 3.

No inferences could be made regarding the mechanism of these interferences, suffice to state that more work needs to be done to address this but that, at the concentration levels likely to be encountered in (10-fold diluted) PW samples, their presence can be tolerated. An additional important aspect to be considered is the very high concentration of NaCl present in such samples, which makes the effect of this component predominant over all other interferents present at lower-concentrations.

# 

#### 3.4. Effect of nitrate

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

Interference from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> on PVG processes has been well documented.<sup>30,43</sup> Guo et al.<sup>44</sup>, reported that the presence of nitrate ions altered the yield of volatile species of selenium and modified the nature of the generated product. Sturgeon and Grinberg<sup>30</sup> noted that 0.7 mol  $L^{-1}$  NO<sub>3</sub> (as nitric acid) caused total inhibition of PVG of Hg in a 0.83 mol L<sup>-1</sup>acetic acid medium, probably because of its ability to participate in a number of radical scavenging reactions. In this study, the influence of nitrate ion was evaluated over the range 0 - 0.14 mol  $L^{-1}$  in solutions of 1.62 mol  $L^{-1}$  formic acid spiked with 10 µg  $L^{-1}$  Hg(II). Response decreased approximately 15% at the highest concentration of nitrate added. This effect was less pronounced than reported in earlier studies using an acetic acid medium<sup>30</sup>, and was independent of the form in which it was added to the solution (as sodium nitrate or nitric acid).

## **4. Analytical figures of merit and method application**

Analytical figures of merit, derived under optimum conditions in the presence of formic acid, are summarized in Table 4. The limit of detection (LOD) was based on a 3s criterion, where *s* is the standard deviation of the results for 10 repetitive measurements of the processed blank. The limit of quantification (LOQ) was based on 10s. The precision of the proposed procedure was calculated from the relative standard deviation of the mean of the linear slopes of ten analytical curves constructed over ten different days.

 Figures of merit obtained herein were compared with those reported for Article Online conventional CVG based on use of SnCl<sub>2</sub> as the reductant.<sup>45</sup> A 20-fold improvement in the LOD is obtained when using the PVG methodology compared to conventional CVG with ICP-OES detection.

APW samples, having salinities ranging from 55-150‰ (Table 1), were used to evaluate the recovery of a 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> spike of Hg(II) using the proposed methodology. Standard additions was used following a 10-fold dilution of the APW medium with DIW such that the impact of salinity would be minimized. Table 5 compares the slopes of such standard additions curves with those generated using external calibration curves prepared in a matrix-free DIW sample. It should be noted that the concentration of inorganic ions present in samples APW-1, APW-2 and APW-3 were significantly higher than samples APW-4 and APW-5. On the other hand, phenol was present in samples APW-4 and APW-5. Slopes of the standard additions curves are similar for the various APW samples tested, independent of their salinity. However, they are slight higher than that for the external calibration curve, indicating that matrix interferences are present and that reliable quantitation cannot be based on the latter approach. This is consistent with the enhanced response noted earlier and due to elevated levels of sodium chloride.

Results for spike recoveries, shown in Table 6, range from 78 to 113%,
confirming that the methodology can be satisfactorily used to determine inorganic Hg
in highly saline media.

413 Results for real samples of PW (similarly diluted 10-fold with DIW before 414 analysis) were quantitated against matrix matched calibration standards. For this 415 purpose, spikes were added to artificial PW (APW) solutions containing 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup>

416 formic acid. Results are summarized in Table 7. Replicate determinations (n=3) on formwhile online 417 spiked PW samples show precision on the order of 1-4% RSD in the concentration 418 range of 8-10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. Recovery tests were also performed to evaluate the accuracy of 419 the method whereby Hg(II) was added to 10-fold diluted PW at three levels 420 (approximately 10, 25 and 40  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>). Recoveries ranging from 79 to 121% were 421 achieved. The salinity of the real samples was higher than that of the APW samples, 422 reaching values up to 267‰.

It is clear that artificial PW (APW) samples can be used to prepare "external"
matrix-matched calibration curves for the analysis of real PW to permit monitoring of
Hg(II) in these highly saline effluents prior to their discharge into natural water bodies.

### **5. Conclusions**

The method proposed for the determination of inorganic mercury in PW samples by PVG-ICP-OES is conveniently accomplished in a medium of 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid at pH 1.5 using a 30 s exposure to UV radiation under continuous-flow conditions. A 10-fold dilution of samples alleviates potential interferences but still requires the method of additions for quantitation due to the enhancement effect of NaCl on Hg response. Calibration can also be performed using a matrix matching strategy employing artificial PW (APW) for preparation of standards, particularly when small volumes of test samples are available. Spike recoveries in the range 79-124% were obtained using this approach.

438 This method provides a lower procedural LOD (1.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) than conventional warticle Online 439 CVG methodology employing AAS detection (4.1  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>) wherein Hg(II) is reduced with 440 SnCl<sub>2</sub>.

Although there is no specific law in Brazil that requires the determination of Hg in PW samples, resolution 393/2007 from CONAMA (Conselho Nacional do Meio Ambiente, Brazil) requires oil companies in Brazil to undertake monitoring surveys for metal concentrations in PW prior to its disposal into the ocean. The PVG-ICP-OES methodology developed here can be readily employed to evaluate the concentration of inorganic Hg in high salinity PW samples.

#### 448 Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Research Council Canada (NRCC),
Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nivel Superior (CAPES, Brazil),
Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Apoio à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (Faperj,
Brazil) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq,
Brazil) for financial support and fellowships. Petrobras is thanked for supplying the
authentic PW samples.

ournal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

**References** 

459 1. National Council of Environment, Brazil (Conselho Nacional do Meio Ambiente,
460 Ministério do Meio Ambiente), Resolution 393/2007, August 8th, 2007.

462	2. G.T. Tellez, N. Nirmalakhandan, J.L. Gardea-Torresdey, Wat. Res. 1995, 29, 171 View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
463	1718.
464	
465	3. R. Shpiner, S. Vathi, D.C. Stuckey, Wat. Res. 2009, 43, 4258–4268.
466	
467	4. H.S. Dórea, J.R.L. Bispo, K.A.S. Aragão, B.B. Cunha, S. Navickiene, J.P.H. Alves, L.P.C.
468	Romão, C.A.B. Garcia, <i>Microchem. J.</i> 2007, <b>85</b> , 234–238.
469	
470	5. C.W. Yeung, B.A. Law, T.G. Milligan, K. Lee, L.G. Whyte, C.W. Greer, Mar. Pollut. Bull.
471	2011, <b>62</b> , 2095–2105.
472	
473	6. E.P. Oliveira, L. Yang, R.E. Sturgeon, R.E. Santelli, M.A. Bezerra, S.N. Willie, R. Capilla,
474	J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2011, <b>26</b> , 578–585.
475	
476	7. E.P. Oliveira, R.E. Santelli, R.J. Cassella, Anal. Chim. Acta 2005, 545, 85–91.
477	
478	8. R.J. Cassella, E.P. Oliveira, O.I.B. Magalhães, Talanta 2006, 69, 48–54.
479	
480	9. E.P. Oliveira, R.E. Santelli, R.J. Cassella, Microchem. J. 2008, 89, 116–122.
481	
482	10. R.J. Cassella, L.G.T. Reis, E.P. Oliveira, R.E. Santelli, <i>Talanta</i> 2011, <b>85</b> , 415–419.
483	
484	11. K. Leopolda, M. Foulkes, P. Worsfold, Anal. Chim. Acta 2010, 663, 127–138.
485	

486	12. H. Wu, Y. Jin, W. Han, Q. Miao, S. Bi, Spectrochim. Acta Part B 2006, 61, 831–840 View Article Online DOI: 10.10.39/C5JA00444F
487	
488	13. A.C.L. Dias, J.R.D. Guimarães, O. Malm, P.A.S. Costa, Cadernos Saúde Pública 2008,
489	<b>24</b> , 2063–2070.
490	
491	14. I.L.S. Almeida, N.M.M. Coelho, Energy Fuels 2012, 26, 6003–6007.
492	
493	15. A. Londonio, F. Fujiwara, R.J. Rebagliati, D. Gómez, P. Smichowski, Determination
494	of mercury in size fractionated road dust samples by flow injection-cold vapor-atomic
495	absorption spectrometry. <i>Microchem. J.</i> 2012, <b>105</b> , 77–82.
496	
497	16. H. Pyhtilä, P. Perämäki, J. Piispanen, M. Niemelä, T. Suoranta, M. Starr, T.
498	Nieminen, M. Kantola, L. Ukonmaanaho, <i>Microchem. J.</i> 2012, <b>103</b> , 165–169.
499	
500	17. A. D'Ulivo, V. Loreti, M. Onor, E. Pitzalis, R. Zamboni, Chemical vapor generation
501	atomic spectrometry using amineboranes and cyanotrihydroborate(III) reagents. Anal.
502	<i>Chem.</i> 2003, <b>75</b> , 2591–2600.
503	
504	18. T. Nakahara, Prog. Anal. At. Spectrosc. 1983, <b>6</b> , 163–223.
505	
506	19. M.H. Arbab-Zavar, G.H. Rounaghi, M. Chamsaz, M. Masrournia, Anal. Sci. 2003, 19,
507	743–746.
508	
509	20. V.N.S. Tirtom, A. Goulding, E. Henden, <i>Talanta</i> 2008, <b>76</b> , 1212–1217.

2 3 4	510	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
5 6 7	511	21. C.S. Silva, E.Q. Oreste, A.M. Nunes, M.A. Vieira, A.S. Ribeiro, J. Anal. At. Spectrom.
7 8 9	512	2012, <b>27</b> , 689–694.
10 11	513	
12 13	514	22. C. Han, C. Zheng, J. Wang, G. Cheng, Y. Lv, X. Hou, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2007, 38,
0.7 19 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	515	825–830.
7/10/17 19	516	
⊉0 ⊉1 ⊉2	517	23. J.T. Madden, N. Fitzgerald, Spectrochim. Acta Part B 2009, 64, 925–927.
ମାର ମାର୍ଥ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ୟ	518	
125 26 27	519	24. M.A. Vieira, A.S. Ribeiro, A.J. Curtius, R.E. Sturgeon, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2007,
1820 1920 1929	520	<b>388</b> , 837–847.
ନ୍ଥି0 ମୁକ୍ଷ ଅକ୍ଟୁ	521	
arsa 3 3 3 3 4	522	25. P. Grinberg, R.E. Sturgeon, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2009, <b>24</b> , 508–514.
990 970 970 970 970	523	
aව දි දි දි දි දි දි දි දි දි දි දි දි ද	524	26. C. Zheng, Q. Ma, L. Wu, X. Hou, R.E. Sturgeon, <i>Microchem. J.</i> 2010, <b>95</b> , 32–37.
와0 와1 와1	525	
43 44	526	27. Y. Yin, J. Liu, G. Jiang, Trends Anal. Chem. 2011, <b>30</b> , 1672–1684.
45 46 47	527	
48 49	528	28. Y. Yin, B. Chen, Y. Mao, T. Wang, J. Liu, Y. Cai, G. Jiang, Chemosphere 2012, 88, 8-16
50 51	529	
53 54	530	29 I Si P.A. Anvia Environ Sci Technol 2008 <b>42</b> 5150-5155
55 56 57	550	25. 2. 5, 1. M. M. yiu, 2000, 30. Teemon. 2000, 42, 5150-5155.
57 58 59	531	
60	532	30. R.E. Sturgeon, P. Grinberg, J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 2012, 27, 222–231.

1 2		
3	533	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
5 6 7	534	31. B. Roig, C. Gonzalez, O. Thomas, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 2003, 59, 303–307.
8 9	535	
10 11 12	536	32. H. Zhang, Photochemical redox reactions of mercury. In: D.A. Atwood (Ed.), Recent
ា3 ឡើ4	537	developments in mercury science. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany, 2006.
54 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	538	
/10/17 19 17	539	33. A.A. Rocha, N. Miekeley, C.L.P. Silveira, M.C.M. Bezerra, Quím. Nova 1998, 21, 584–
20 නූ1 ඉද	540	589.
ມ ເອີ້ສາອີຊ	541	
125 26 27	542	34. T.I.R. Utvik, Chemosphere 1999, <b>39</b> , 2593–2606.
Net of the large l	543	
ିକ୍ଷ0 କ୍ଷୀ କ୍ଷ2	544	35. S.F.J. Veguería, J.M. Godoy, N. Miekeley, <i>J. Environ. Radioac</i> .2002, <b>62</b> , 29–38.
ଞ୍ଚ2 ଛି3 ଫୁ-ସ	545	
990 970 970 970 970 970 970 970 970 970	546	36. D.W. Woodall, N.N. Rabalais, R.P. Gambrell, R.D. Delaune, Mar. Pollut. Bull. 2003,
ang 8 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	547	<b>46</b> , 731–740.
3 명 (1 (1 (1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	548	
43 44	549	37. M. Çakmakci, N. Kayaalp, I. Koyuncu, <i>Desalination</i> 2008, <b>222</b> , 176–186.
45 46 47	550	
48 49	551	38. U. Pancharoen, W. Poonkum, A.L.W. Lothongkum, J. Alloy. Comp. 2009, 482, 328–
50 51 52	552	334.
53 54	553	
55 56 57	554	39. S. Meier, H.C. Morton, G. Nyhammer, B.E. Grosvik, V. Makhotin, A. Geffen, S.
58 59	555	Boistsov, K.A. Kvestad, A. Bohne-Kjersem, A. Goksovr, A. Folkvrod, J. Klungsovr, A.
60	556	Svardal, <i>Mar. Environ. Res.</i> 2010, <b>70</b> , 383–394.

1 2		
2 3 4	557	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F
5 6 7	558	40. I.T. Gabardo, E.B. Platte, E.F.A. Lima, F.B. Andrade, M.F.B. Carvalho, Technical
, 8 9	559	Report, PETROBRAS-CENPES, 24, 2005.
10 11 12	560	
ា2 13 ភ្លា4	561	41. F. Akbal, N. Onar, Environ. Monitor. Assess. 2003, 83, 295–302.
0.15.14:0 1910-1	562	
7/10/17/ 19/17/19	563	42. B. Roig, C. Gonzalez, O. Thomas, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 2003, 59, 303–307.
20 20 20	564	
ୁଅ ଅଲିଥି ଅଲିଥି	565	43. F. Akbal, N. Onar, Environ. Monitor. Assess. 2003, 83, 295–302.
25 26	566	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	567	44. XM. Guo, R.E. Sturgeon, Z. Mester, G.J. Gardner, Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 2092–
୍ୱ କ୍ଷିତ୍ର ଅନ୍ଧ୍ୟୁ ଅନ୍ଧ୍ୟୁ	568	2099.
<sub>ଅ</sub> ଅ ଅ ଅ ଅ ଅ	569	
990 970 971 971 971 971 971 971 971 971 971 971	570	45. F.M.B. de Mirabo, A.Ch. Thomas, E. Rubí, R. Forteza, V. Cerdà, Anal. Chim. Acta
anel Solo Solo Solo Solo Solo Solo Solo So	571	1997, <b>355</b> , 203–210.
[월0 왕] 1 1	572	
43 44		
45 46 47		
48 49		
50 51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58 59		
60		

 $\begin{array}{r} 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\\ 55\\ 56\\ 57\\ 58\\ 59\\ 60\\ \end{array}$ 

1 2		
3 4	573	View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444f
5 6	574	Table 1. Composition of artificial production waters (APW) employed in recovery
7 8	575	experiments.

Substance		Con	centration (mg	g L <sup>-1</sup> )	
	APW-1	APW-2	APW-3	APW-4	APW-5
КСІ	500	100	610	100	610
MgCl <sub>2</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	660	320	390	320	390
$CaCl_2.2H_2O$	5600	840	2800	840	2800
$BaCl_2.2H_2O$	190	70	230	70	230
$SrCl_2.6H_2O$	400	200	400	200	400
FeCl <sub>3</sub> .6H <sub>2</sub> O	30	-	-	-	-
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	-	440	950	440	950
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	70	-	170	-	170
NaCl	91000	30000	53000	30000	53000
NaBr	150	80	-	80	-
Sodium acetate	29	-	-	-	-
Phenol	-	-	-	7	10
Salinity (‰)	150	55	90	55	90

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

#### **Table 2**. Experimental parameters for PVG-ICP-OES.

System parameters	Optimized Conditions
Transfer gas flow rate (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	0.35 (acetic acid)
	0.45 (formic acid)
Plasma Ar gas flow rate (L min <sup>-1</sup> )	15
GLS argon flow rate (mL min <sup>-1</sup> )	100
Sample flow rate (mL min <sup>-1</sup> )	3
RF power (W)	1300
Wavelength (nm)	253.652
Signal processing	Peak area
ICP OES view	Radial
Viewing height (mm)	15.0
Integration time (s)	100
Read time (s)	100

**Table 3.** Range of concentration of possible interferents.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

Interferent	Range of concentration	Tolerable	
	tested	concentration <sup>a</sup>	
Phenol	0–15 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	10 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	
toluene	0–0.63	>0.063%	
ethanol	0–3%	>3%	
methanol	0–32 mol/L	>10%	
K(I), Ba(II), Sr(II) and Mg(II)	0–75 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	>75 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Ca(II) <sup>b</sup>	$0-1250 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$	500 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Ni(II) and Sb(III) <sup>b</sup>	0–100 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	5 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Cu(II), Pb(II), and Zn(II)	0–100 µg L <sup>-1</sup>	>100 µg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Fe(III)	0–100 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	5 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	
As(III) <sup>b</sup>	0–100 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	15 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Se(IV) <sup>c</sup>	0–100 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	15 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	
Cr(III)	0–100 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	25 μg L <sup>-1</sup>	
NO <sub>3</sub>	0–0.14 mol L <sup>-1</sup>	0.14 mol L <sup>-1</sup>	

<sup>a</sup> Tolerable concentration before which 15% deviation of response occurs. Experiments were performed with individual aqueous solutions containing interferent and Hg(II).

<sup>b</sup> Enhancement of inorganic Hg signal.

<sup>c</sup> Suppression of inorganic Hg signal.

# 7

**Table 4.** Figures of merit for optimized methodology.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

Parameter	Value
Typical calibration equation $(\mu g L^{-1})^a$	I = 212.3 [Hg] – 351.8
R <sup>2</sup>	0.9927
Linear range ( $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> )	up to 50
Procedural limit of detection ( $\mu g L^{-1}$ )	1.2 <sup>b</sup>
Procedural limit of quantification ( $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> )	$4.0^{b}$
Precision (%)	9.5

591 <sup>*a*</sup> Calibration performed in the range of 5 - 50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>.

<sup>b</sup> LOD and LOQ were corrected for the 10-fold dilution of the sample and thus refer to the neat sample.

50		Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry		
Table 5. Comparison of slopes of calibration curves and analyte addition curves prepared from spiked A         Salinity       Slope of the       R <sup>2</sup>				
Method	Sample	<b>(‰</b> )	curve (L μg <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>b</sup>	
External calibration <sup>c</sup>	DIW <sup>a</sup>		$189\pm13$	0.996
	APW-1	150	210 ± 14	0.993
Analyte additions <sup>c</sup>				
Analyte additions <sup>c</sup>	APW-2	55	221±11	0.998
Analyte additions <sup>c</sup>	APW-2 APW-3	55 90	$221 \pm 11$ $228 \pm 18$	0.998 0.992
Analyte additions <sup>c</sup>	APW-2 APW-3 APW-4	55 90 55	$221 \pm 11$ $228 \pm 18$ $229 \pm 15$	0.998 0.992 0.999

<sup>*a*</sup> In the presence of 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid <sup>*b*</sup> Expressed as slope  $\pm$  standard error. Based on 3 point-standard addition

<sup>*c*</sup> Constructed by addition of Hg(II) in the range of 10-50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>.in the presence of 1.62 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid. Based on 5 point calibration curve.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
ື 4
<sup>8</sup> 5
97
ਕੋਨ
ງ ອັງ
2 <u>2</u> 1
- <u>7</u> 2
23
4چى =
£25
<b>2</b> 6
<u>2</u> 7
Z8
<u>7</u> 9
j 30
<b>3</b> 1
32
33
යී4
÷35
36
\$7
38
දිඉ
20
-77-U -71-1
دها الآ
<u>א</u> בר גול
43
44
40
40
4/ 10
4ð
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

**Table 6.** Recovery of a 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> spike of Hg(II) from APW samples based on quantitation by the method of additions.

Sample <sup>a</sup>	Hg added	Hg found <sup>b</sup>	Recovery
	(µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)
APW-1 (16‰)	10.0	$\textbf{7.8}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	78 ± 1
APW-2 (15‰)	10.0	$9.6\pm0.1$	96 ± 1
APW-3 (5.5‰)	10.0	$8.6\pm0.1$	86 ± 1
APW-4 (9‰)	10.0	$9.2\pm0.2$	92 ± 2
APW-5 (15‰)	10.0	$\textbf{11.3}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	113 ± 1

<sup>*a*</sup> Values in parentheses are the salinities of each APW sample.

 $^{\it b}$  Expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3).

Sample	Hg added	Hg found <sup>a</sup>	Spike Recovery
(Salinity,‰)	(µg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(μg L <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)
PW-1	0	< 1.2	
(267‰)	9.32	$10.1 \pm 0.1$	108 ± 1
	25.09	28.6 ± 0.3	114 ± 1
	38.19	40.2 ± 2.0	105 ± 5
PW-2	0	< 1.2	
(239‰)	9.31	$9.38 \pm 0.14$	101 ± 2
	25.25	29.9 ± 0.2	118 ± 1
	38.92	47.4 ± 0.4	121 ± 1
PW-3	0	< 1.2	
(237‰)	10.20	8.10 ± 0.32	79.4 ± 3.1
	23.79	27.2 ± 0.5	114 ± 2
	34.75	43.3 ± 0.3	124 ± 1
PW-4	0	< 1.2	
(78 ‰)	8.0	7.42 ± 0.15	92.8 ± 1.6
-	18.19	16.6 ± 0.2	91.2 ± 1.1
	25.75	26.3 ± 0.1	102 ± 0.4

**Table 7**. Results for the determination of inorganic mercury in samples of produced waters and spike recoveries.

 $^{a}$  Results obtained using method of additions for calibration. Mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (n = 3)

reported.

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

#### **Figures and Captions**

**Figure. 1**. Schematic of the experimental system for the determination of inorganic mercury in production waters by photochemical vapor generation coupled to ICP-OES.

**Figure 2.** Effect of LMW acid concentration on response from 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Hg(II). Sample flow rate was 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Error bars represent standard deviation of three measurements.

**Figure 3.** Effect of sample pH on response in continuous-flow mode of a solution containing 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Hg(II). ( $\blacklozenge$ ) 1.63 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid; ( $\blacksquare$ ) 1.66 mol L<sup>-1</sup> acetic acid. Error bars represent standard deviation of three measurements.

**Figure 4.** Effect of chloride concentration on PVG response from a solution containing 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Hg(II) using continuous-flow mode with 3 mL min<sup>-1</sup> sample flow rate (75 s UV irradiation) in 1.63 mol L<sup>-1</sup> formic acid and 1.66 mol L<sup>-1</sup> acetic acid. Error bars represent standard deviation of three measurements.

FIGURE 1



View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

#### FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3





View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C5JA00444F

#### **FIGURE 4**

